

DRAFT
WEED BEACH BUILDING COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES
Wednesday – 7:30pm – May 12, 2010
Room 119 – Town Hall

Present: D. Bayne, C. Darby, J. Enters, J. Howe, L. Bora, S. Swiatek, D. Parnon, J. Branigan
Absent: S. Daley

Meeting convened at 7:45pm.

Committee members asked questions regarding the status of the project.

Has the town given us any direction? Where does the BOS stand on moving this project forward? Apparently all five members of the BOS feel as though the Police Station should go before the Weed Beach project. BOF does not want additional bonding.

J. Howe asked if the economy was still the issue for not moving forward.

The Financial Strategic Review Committee hasn't met in awhile....seems to be an excuse not to take a position on making a decision.

WBB Committee members agreed we should try to convince the Selectmen to allow this project to go forward. The charge of the WBBC is to build the master plan approved by the Parks and Recreation Commission. It is up to the P&R Commission to petition the BOF and community to gain public support for this project. S. Daley has started to put a petition together. A petition would give the public a forceful voice to let the BOS know the community supports this project.

C. Darby offered to update the WBBC web site with current information.

Project status

- Construction drawings and spec book are bid ready
- Engineering plan (site work/landscaping) needs to be updated
- Parks and Recreation Commission plans to build public support – S. Daley
- Low cost environment is predicted for the next 2-5 months – could have a positive impact on bidding outcome
- BOF need to make a decision about how to fund the project: general fund, bonding – L. Bora

S. Swiatek mentioned the need for the BOF to release \$17,000 to complete the engineering drawings for site.

The committee discussed the two delivery system options:

- GC: town would oversee bidding w/ professional help, hire a clerk of the works (a John Ryan-type)
 - small project
 - bidding environment might offer the possibility of squeezing \$100K
 - Develop a schedule to include architect, engineer and GC
- CM at risk – continue with current delivery system (A Pappajohn Co.)
 - APC would conduct the bidding process
 - APC would oversee the project

and unanimously voted to use the GC option where the town would oversee the bidding process and hire a clerk of the works to oversee the project.

Committee determined it was a waste of time to develop a Plan B until the BOS gives us an idea of how they want us to proceed.

The meeting adjourned at 8:30pm.

Next regularly scheduled meeting: June 9, 2010

Respectfully submitted, Debra Parnon